

Type inference: Review of the basics

- 1. For each unknown type, a fresh type variable**
- 2. Instantiate every variable automatically**
- 3. Every typing rule adds equality constraints**
- 4. Solve constraints to get substitution**
- 5. Apply substitution to constraints and types**
- 6. Introduce polymorphism at let/val bindings**

Review: Using polymorphic names

```
-> (val cc (lambda (nss) (car (car nss))))
```

Using polymorphic names

```
-> (val cc (lambda (nss) (car (car nss))))
```

```
cc : (forall ('a) ((list (list 'a)) -> 'a))
```

Your turn!

Given

```
empty : (forall ['a] (list 'a))  
cons   : (forall ['a] ('a (list 'a) -> (list 'a)))
```

For

```
(cons empty empty)
```

You fill in:

1. Fresh instances
2. Constraints
3. Final type

Bonus example

```
-> (val second (lambda (xs) (car (cdr xs))))
```

```
second : ...
```

```
-> (val two      (lambda (f) (lambda (x) (f (f x)))))
```

```
two : ...
```

Bonus example solved

```
-> (val second (lambda (xs) (car (cdr xs))))  
second : (forall ('a) ((list 'a) -> 'a))  
-> (val two      (lambda (f) (lambda (x) (f (f x)))))  
two :      (forall ('a) (('a -> 'a) -> ('a -> 'a)))
```

Making Type Inference Precise

Sad news:

- Type inference for polymorphism is undecidable

Solution:

- Each formal parameter has a monomorphic type

Consequences:

- The *argument* to a higher-order function *cannot be mandated* to be polymorphic
- `forall` appears only outermost in types

We infer stratified “Hindley-Milner” types

Two layers: Monomorphic **types** τ

Polymorphic **type schemes** σ

$\tau ::= \alpha$ type variables

| μ type constructors: `int`, `list`

| $(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) \tau$ constructor application

$\sigma ::= \forall \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n. \tau$ type scheme

Each variable in Γ introduced via `LET`, `LETREC`, `VAL`, and `VAL-REC` has a type scheme σ with \forall

Each variable in Γ introduced via `LAMBDA` has a **degenerate** type scheme $\forall. \tau$ —a type, wrapped

Representing Hindley-Milner types

```
type tyvar = name
```

```
datatype ty
```

```
  = TYVAR of tyvar
```

```
  | TYCON of name
```

```
  | CONAPP of ty * ty list
```

```
datatype type_scheme
```

```
  = FORALL of tyvar list * ty
```

```
fun funtype (args, result) =
```

```
  CONAPP (TYCON "function",
```

```
    [CONAPP (TYCON "arguments", args),
```

```
    result])
```

Key ideas

Type environment Γ binds var to **type scheme** σ

- `singleton` : $\forall \alpha. \alpha \rightarrow \alpha \text{ list}$
- `cc` : $\forall \alpha. \alpha \text{ list list} \rightarrow \alpha$
- `car` : $\forall \alpha. \alpha \text{ list} \rightarrow \alpha$
- `n` : $\forall. \text{int}$ (**note empty \forall**)

Judgment $\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$ gives expression e a **type** τ

(Transitions inserted by algorithm!)

Key ideas

Definitions are polymorphic with type schemes

Each use is monomorphic with a (mono-) type

Transitions:

- **At use, type scheme instantiated automatically**
- **At definition, automatically abstract over tyvars**

All the pieces

1. Hindley-Milner types
2. Bound names : σ , expressions : τ
3. Type inference yields **type-equality constraint**
4. **Constraint solving** produces **substitution**
5. Substitution refines types
6. Call solver, introduce polytypes at `val`
7. Call solver, introduce polytypes at all `let` forms

Type-inference algorithm

Given Γ and e , compute C and τ such that

$$C, \Gamma \vdash e : \tau$$

Idea #2: Extend to list of e_i : $C, \Gamma \vdash e_1, \dots, e_n : \tau_1, \dots, \tau_n$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \mathbf{bool} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash e_3 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{IF}(e_1, e_2, e_3) : \tau} \quad (\mathbf{IF})$$

becomes (note equality constraints with \sim)

$$\frac{C, \Gamma \vdash e_1, e_2, e_3 : \tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3}{C \wedge \tau_1 \sim \mathbf{bool} \wedge \tau_2 \sim \tau_3, \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{IF}(e_1, e_2, e_3) : \tau_3} \quad (\mathbf{IF})$$

Apply rule

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \tau_1 \times \cdots \times \tau_n \rightarrow \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \quad \dots \quad \Gamma \vdash e_n : \tau_n}{\Gamma \vdash \text{APPLY}(e, e_1, \dots, e_n) : \tau} \quad (\text{APPLY})$$

becomes

$$\frac{C, \Gamma \vdash e, e_1, \dots, e_n : \tau_f, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_n \quad \alpha \text{ is fresh}}{C \wedge \tau_f \sim \tau_1 \times \cdots \times \tau_n \rightarrow \alpha, \Gamma \vdash \text{APPLY}(e, e_1, \dots, e_n) : \alpha} \quad (\text{APPLY})$$

Type inference, operationally

Like type checking:

- Top-down, bottom up pass over abstract syntax
- Use Γ to look up types of variables

Different from type checking:

- Create fresh type variables when needed
- Accumulate equality constraints

Your skills so far

You can complete typeof

- Takes e and Γ , returns τ and C

(Except for let forms.)

Next up: solving constraints