

Signature review: collect *declarations*

```
signature QUEUE = sig
    type 'a queue      (* another abstract type *)
exception Empty

    val empty : 'a queue
    val put : 'a * 'a queue -> 'a queue
    val get : 'a queue -> 'a * 'a queue      (* raises Empty *)

    (* LAWS:  get (put (a, empty)) == (a, empty)
       ...
    *)
end
```

Structure: collect definitions

```
structure Queue :> QUEUE = struct      (* opaque seal *)
  type 'a queue = 'a list
  exception Empty

  val empty = []
  fun put (x,q) = q @ [x]
  fun get [] = raise Empty
    | get (x :: xs) = (x, xs)

  (* LAWS:  get (put (a, empty)) == (a, empty)
     ...
  *)
end
```

Dot notation to access components

```
fun single x = Queue.put (Queue.empty, x)  
val _ = single : 'a -> 'a Queue.queue
```

What interface with what implementation?

Maybe mixed together, extracted by compiler!

- CLU, Haskell

Maybe matched by name:

- Modula-2, Modula-3, Ada

Best: any interface with any implementation:

- Java, Standard ML

But: not “any”—only some matches are OK

Signature Matching

Well-formed

```
structure Queue :> QUEUE = QueueImpl
```

*if principal signature of QueueImpl matches
ascribed signature QUEUE:*

- Every type in QUEUE is in QueueImpl
- Every exception in QUEUE is in QueueImpl
- Every value in QUEUE is in QueueImpl
(type could be more polymorphic)
- Every substructure matches, too (none here)

Signature Ascription

Ascription attaches signature to structure

- **Transparent Ascription:** types are revealed

```
structure strid : sig_exp = struct_exp
```

This method is stupid and broken (legacy)

(But it's awfully convenient)

- **Opaque Ascription:** types are hidden (“sealing”)

```
structure strid :> sig_exp = struct_exp
```

This method respects abstraction

(And when you *need* to expose, can be tiresome)

Slogan: “use the beak”

Opaque Ascription

Recommended

Example:

```
structure Queue :> QUEUE = struct
  type 'a queue = 'a list
  exception Empty

  val empty = []
  fun put (x, q) = q @ [x]
  fun get [] = raise Empty
    | get (x :: xs) = (x, xs)
end
```

Not exposed: type 'a Queue.queue = 'a list

- Respects abstraction

How opaque ascription works

Outside module, no access to representation

- Protects invariants
- Allows software to evolve
- Type system limits interoperability

Inside module, complete access to representation

- Every function sees rep of every argument
- Key distinction abstract type vs object

Abstract data types and your homework

Natural numbers

- Funs/ $/+/,/-/,/*/$ see both representations
- Makes arithmetic relatively easy
- But type nat works only with type nat
(no “mixed” arithmetic)

Abstract data types and your homework

Two-player games:

- Abstraction not as crisp as “number” or “queue”

Problems abstraction must solve:

- Interact with human player via strings
(accept moves, visualize state)
- Know whose turn it is
- Handle special features like “extra moves”
- Provide API for computer player

Result: a wide interface

Testing code with abstract types

Test properties of observed data:

- If player X has won, the game is over
- If the game is over, there are no legal moves
- If there are no legal moves, the game is over

Same story with numbers:

- negated (negated i) equals i
- $(i <+> j) <-> i$ equals j

Abstraction design: Computer player

**Computer player should work with any game,
provided**

- Up to two players
- Complete information
- Always terminates

Brute force: exhaustive search

Your turn! What does computer player need?

- Types?
- Exceptions?
- Functions?

Our computer player: AGS

Any game has two key types:

```
type state
structure Move : MOVE (* exports 'move' *)
```

Key functions use both types:

```
val legalmoves : state -> Move.move list
val makemove   : state -> Move.move -> state
```

Multiple games with different state, move?

Yes! Using key feature of ML: functor

A *functor* is a generic module

A new form of parametric polymorphism:

- lambda and type-lambda in one mechanism
- Introduction form is functor (definition form)
- Actually pleasant to use

“Generics” found across language landscape
(wherever large systems are built)

Game interoperability with functors

```
functor AgsFun (structure Game : GAME) :> sig
  structure Game : GAME
  val advice :
    Game.state ->
      { recommendation : Game.Move.move option
      , expectedOutcome : Player.outcome
      }
end
where type Game.Move.move = Game.Move.move
and   type Game.state       = Game.state
= struct
  structure Game = Game
  ... definitions of helpers, 'advice' ...
end
```

Functors: baby steps

A functor abstracts over a module

Formal parameters are declarations:

```
functor MkSingle(structure Q:QUEUE) =
  struct
    structure Queue = Q
    fun single x = Q.put(Q.empty, x)
  end
```

Combines familiar ideas:

- Higher-order functions (value parameter Q.put)
- type-lambda (type parameter Q.queue)

Using Functors

Functor applications are evaluated at *compile time*.

```
functor MkSingle(structure Q:QUEUE) =
  struct
    structure Queue = Q
    fun single x = Q.put (Q.empty, x)
  end
```

Actual parameters are definitions

```
structure QueueS = MkSingle(structure Q = Queue)
structure EQueueS = MkSingle(structure Q = EQueue)
```

where *EQueue* is a more efficient implementation

Refining signature using where type

```
signature ORDER = sig
  type t
  val compare : t * t -> order
end

signature MAP = sig
  type key
  type 'a table
  val insert : key -> 'a -> 'a table -> 'a table
  ...
end

functor RBTree(structure O:ORD)
  :> MAP where type key = O.t =
  struct ... end
```

Versatile functors

Code reuse. RBTree with different orders

Type abstraction. RBTree with different ordered types

Separate compilation. RBTree compiled independently

```
functor RBTree(structure O:ORD)
  :> MAP where type key = O.t =
  struct
    ...
  end
```

Functors on your homework

Separate compilation:

- Unit tests for natural numbers, without an implementation of natural numbers

Code reuse with type abstraction

- Abstract Game Solver
(any representation of game state, move)

ML module summary

New syntactic category: declaration

- Of type, value, exception, or module

Signature groups declarations: interface

Structure groups definitions: implementation

Functor enables reuse:

- Formal parameter: declarations
- Actual parameter: definitions

Opaque ascription hides information

- Enforces abstraction

Reusable Abstractions: Extended Example

Error-tracking interpreter for a toy language

Classic “accumulator” for errors

```
signature ERROR = sig
  type error    (* a single error *)
  type summary (* summary of what errors occurred *)

  val nothing : summary                      (* no errors *)
  val <+> : summary * summary -> summary (* combine *)

  val oneError : error -> summary

  (* laws:   nothing <+> s == s
     s <+> nothing == s
     s1 <+> (s2 <+> s3) == (s1 <+> s2) <+> s3
                           // associativity
  *)
end
```

First Error: Implementation

```
structure FirstError :>
  ERROR where type error = string
            and type summary = string option =
struct
  type error    = string
  type summary = string option

  val nothing = NONE
  fun <+> (NONE,    s) = s
    | <+> (SOME e,   _) = SOME e

  val oneError = SOME
end
```

All Errors: Implementation

```
structure AllErrors :>  
  ERROR where type error      = string  
          and type summary = string list =  
  struct  
    type error      = string  
    type summary = error list  
  
    val nothing = []  
    val <+> = op @  
    fun oneError e = [e]  
  end
```

Exercise: Simple arithmetic interpreter

(* Given: *)

```
datatype 'a comp = OK of 'a | ERR of AllErrors.summary
```

```
datatype exp = LIT of int
              | PLUS of exp * exp
              | DIV of exp * exp
```

(* Write an evaluation function that tracks errors. *)

```
val eval : exp -> int comp = ...
```

Exercise: LIT and PLUS cases

```
fun eval (LIT n) = OK n
| eval (PLUS (e1, e2)) =
  (case eval e1
   of OK v1 =>
      (case eval e2
       of OK v2 => OK (v1 + v2)
        | ERR s2 => ERR s2)
    | ERR s1 =>
      (case eval e2
       of OK _ => ERR s1
        | ERR s2 => ERR (AllErrors.<+> (s1, s2))))
```

Exercise: DIV case

```
| eval (DIV (e1, e2)) =
  (case eval e1
    of OK v1 =>
      (case eval e2
        of OK 0 => ERR (AllErrors.oneError "Div 0")
         | OK v2 => OK (v1 div v2)
         | ERR s2 => ERR s2)
    | ERR s1 =>
      (case eval e2
        of OK v2 => ERR s1
         | ERR s2 => ERR (AllErrors.<+> (s1, s2)))
```

Combining generic computations

```
signature COMPUTATION = sig
  type 'a comp      (* Computation! When run, results in
                        value of type 'a or error summary. *)
  (* A computation without errors always succeeds. *)
  val succeeds : 'a -> 'a comp

  (* Apply a pure function to a computation. *)
  val <$> : ('a -> 'b) * 'a comp -> 'b comp

  (* Application inside computations. *)
  val <*> : ('a -> 'b) comp * 'a comp -> 'b comp

  (* Computation followed by continuation. *)
  val >>= : 'a comp * ('a -> 'b comp) -> 'b comp
end
```

Buckets of *generic algebraic laws*

```
succeeds a >>= k == k a                                // identity
comp >>= succeeds == comp                            // identity
comp >>= (fn x => k x >>= h) == (comp >>= k) >>= h
                                                    // associativity
succeeds f <*> succeeds x == succeeds (f x) // success
...
```

Environments using “computation”

```
signature COMPENV = sig
    type 'a env    (* environment mapping strings
                      to values of type 'a *)
    type 'a comp   (* computation of 'a or
                      an error summary *)
    val lookup : string * 'a env -> 'a comp
end
```

Payoff!

```
functor InterpFn(structure Error : ERROR
                  structure Comp   : COMPUTATION
                  structure Env    : COMPENV
                  val zerodivide : Error.error
                  val error      : Error.error -> 'a Comp.comp
                  sharing type Comp.comp = Env.comp) =
struct
  val (<*>, <$>, >>) = (Comp.<*>, Comp.<$>, Comp.>>)

  (* Definition of Interpreter *)
end
```

Definition of interpreter, continued

“Computation” abstraction is a “monad”

Supported by special syntax in Haskell:

```
eval :: Exp -> Hopefully Int
eval (LIT v)          = return v
eval (PLUS e1 e2) =
  do { v1 <- eval e1
      ; v2 <- eval e2
      ; return (v1 + v2) }
eval (DIV e1 e2) =
  do { v1 <- eval3 e1
      ; v2 <- eval3 e2
      ; if v2 == 0 then Error "div 0"
        else return (v1 `div` v2) }
```

Extend a signature with include

```
signature ERRORCOMP = sig
  include COMPUTATION
  structure Error : ERROR
  datatype 'a result = OK  of 'a
                | ERR of Error.summary
  val run : 'a comp -> 'a result
  val error : Error.error -> 'a comp
end
```

Let's build ERRORCOMP

```
functor ErrorCompFn(structure Error : ERROR) :>
  ERRORCOMP where type Error.error    = Error.error
              and type Error.summary = Error.summary =
struct
  structure Error = Error
  datatype 'a result = OK  of 'a
                     | ERR of Error.summary

  type 'a comp = 'a result
  fun run comp = comp

  fun error e = ERR (Error.oneError e)
  fun succeeds = OK
  ...
end
```

ML module summary

New syntactic category: declaration

- Of type, value, exception, or module

Signature groups declarations: interface

Structure groups definitions: implementation

Functor enables reuse:

- Formal parameter: declarations
- Actual parameter: definitions

Opaque ascription hides information

- Enforces abstraction