Membership revisited

From duplicates? function, member?

Laws:

```
(member? m '()) == #f
(member? m (cons m ks)) == #t
(member? m (cons k ks)) == (member? m ks), m != k
```

What kind of algorithm is this?

Your turn: Common list algorithms

Algorithms on linked lists (or arrays in sequence):

- Search for an element
- What else?

Predefined list algorithms

Some classics:

- exists? (Example: Is there a number?)
- all? (Example: Is everything a number?)
- filter (Example: Select only the numbers)
- map (Example: Add 1 to every element)
- foldr (Visit every element)

Fold also called reduce, accum, a "catamorphism"

Coding: Generalize linear search

Laws:

Generalize selection; make predicate a parameter:

Predicate p? could come from curry (forthcoming)

Defining exists?

```
; (exists? p? '()) = #f
; (exists? p? (cons y ys)) = #t,
                                    if (p? y)
; (exists? p? (cons y ys)) = (exists? p? ys),
                                          otherwise
-> (define exists? (p? xs)
      (if (null? xs)
         #f
          (if (p? (car xs))
              #t
              (exists? p? (cdr xs)))))
-> (exists? symbol? '(1 2 zoo))
#t
-> (exists? symbol? '(1 2 (zoo)))
#f
```

Defining filter

```
; (filter p? '()) == '()
; (filter p? (cons y ys)) ==
          (cons y (filter p? ys)), when (p? y)
; (filter p? (cons y ys)) ==
          (filter p? ys), when (not (p? y))
-> (define filter (p? xs)
     (if (null? xs)
       '()
       (if (p? (car xs))
         (cons (car xs) (filter p? (cdr xs)))
         (filter p? (cdr xs)))))
```

Running filter

```
-> (filter (lambda (n) (> n 0)) '(1 2 -3 -4 5 6))
(1 2 5 6)
-> (filter (lambda (n) (<= n 0)) '(1 2 -3 -4 5 6))
(-3 -4)
-> (filter ((curry <) 0) '(1 2 -3 -4 5 6))
(1 2 5 6)
-> (filter ((curry >=) 0) '(1 2 -3 -4 5 6))
(-3 -4)
```

Your turn: map

```
-> (map add3 '(1 2 3 4 5))
(4 5 6 7 8)

;; (map f '()) =
;; (map f (cons y ys)) =
```

Answers: map

```
-> (map add3 '(1 2 3 4 5))

(4 5 6 7 8)

; (map f '()) == '(); (map f (cons y ys)) == (cons (f y) (map f ys))
```

Defining and running map

Foldr

Algebraic laws for foldr

```
Idea: \lambda + .\lambda 0.x_1 + \cdots + x_n + 0

(foldr (plus zero '())) = zero

(foldr (plus zero (cons y ys))) = (plus y (foldr plus zero ys))
```

Note: Binary operator + associates to the right.

Note: zero might be identity of plus.

Code for foldr

```
Idea: \lambda + ... \lambda 0... x_1 + \cdots + x_n + 0
-> (define foldr (plus zero xs)
     (if (null? xs)
        zero
        (plus (car xs) (foldr plus zero (cdr xs)))))
-> (val sum (lambda (xs) (foldr + 0 xs)))
-> (sum '(1 2 3 4))
10
-> (val prod (lambda (xs) (foldr * 1 xs)))
-> (prod '(1 2 3 4))
24
```

Another view of operator folding

```
'(1 2 3 4) = (cons 1 (cons 2 (cons 3 (cons 4 '()))))
(foldr + 0 '(1 2 3 4))

= (+ 1 (+ 2 (+ 3 (+ 4 0 ))))
(foldr f z '(1 2 3 4))

= (f 1 (f 2 (f 3 (f 4 z ))))
```

Your turn

```
Idea: \lambda + ... \lambda 0..x_1 + ... + x_n + 0

-> (define combine (x a) (+ 1 a))

-> (foldr combine 0 '(2 3 4 1))

???
```

Wait for it

Answer

```
Idea: \lambda + .\lambda 0.x_1 + \cdots + x_n + 0

-> (define combine (x a) (+ 1 a))

-> (foldr combine 0 '(2 3 4 1))

4
```

What function have we written?

Your turn: Explain the design

- 1. Functions like exists?, map, filter are subsumed by
- 2. Function foldr, which is subsumed by
- 3. Recursive functions

Seems redundant: Why?

Cornucopia of one-argument functions

The "function factory"

The idea of currying

```
-> (map ((curry +) 3) '(1 2 3 4 5)); add 3 to each element

-> (exists? ((curry =) 3) '(1 2 3 4 5)); is there an element equal to 3?

-> (filter ((curry >) 3) '(1 2 3 4 5)); keep elements that 3 is greater then
```

To get one-argument functions: Curry

```
-> (val positive? (lambda (y) (< 0 y)))
-> (positive? 3)
#t
-> (val <-c (lambda (x) (lambda (y) (< x y))))
-> (val positive? (<-c 0)); "partial application"
-> (positive? 0)
#f
```

What's the algebraic law for curry?

```
\ldots (curry f) \ldots = \ldots f \ldots
```

Keep in mind:

All you can do with a function is apply it!

```
(((curry f) x) y) = (f x y)
```

Three applications: so implementation will have three lambdas

No need to Curry by hand!

Your turn!

```
-> (map ((curry +) 3) '(1 2 3 4 5))
???
-> (exists? ((curry =) 3) '(1 2 3 4 5))
???
-> (filter ((curry >) 3) '(1 2 3 4 5))
???
; tricky
```

Answers

```
-> (map ((curry +) 3) '(1 2 3 4 5))
(4 5 6 7 8)

-> (exists? ((curry =) 3) '(1 2 3 4 5))
#t

-> (filter ((curry >) 3) '(1 2 3 4 5))
(1 2)
```

One-argument functions compose

```
-> (define o (f g) (lambda (x) (f (g x))))
-> (define even? (n) (= 0 (mod n 2)))
-> (val odd? (o not even?))
-> (odd? 3)
#t
-> (odd? 4)
#f
```

Next up: proving facts about functions