Solution to “all-fours?”

(check-assert (all-fours? 4))
(check-assert (not (all-fours? 5)))
(check-assert (all-fours? 44))
(check-assert (not (all-fours? 14)))

(define all-fours? (n)
    (if (< n 10)
        (= n 4)
        (and (= 4 (mod n 10))
            (all-fours? (/ n 10)))))

;; D2 recursion: n is d, where 0 < d < 10, or
;; n is 10 * m + d, where m > 0
Concrete syntax for Impcore (again)

Definitions and expressions:

```
def := (define f (x1 ... xn) exp) ;; "true" defs
| (val x exp)
| exp
| (use filename) ;; "extended" defs
| (check-expect exp1 exp2)
| (check-assert exp)
| (check-error exp)

exp ::= integer-literal
| variable-name
| (set x exp)
| (if exp1 exp2 exp3)
| (while exp1 exp2)
| (begin exp1 ... expn)
| (function-name exp1 ... expn)
```
How to define behaviors inductively

Expressions only

Base cases (plural): numerals, names

Inductive steps: compound forms
  • To determine behavior of a compound form, look at behaviors of its parts
First, simplify the task of definition

What’s different? What’s the same?

\[
x = 3; \quad \text{ (set } x \ 3) \\
\text{while } (i \ast i < n) \quad \text{ (while } (< \ast (\ast i i \ i) \ n) \\
i = i + 1; \quad \text{ (set } i \ (+ \ i \ 1)))
\]

Abstract away gratuitous differences

(See the bones beneath the flesh)
Abstract syntax

Same inductive structure as BNF

More uniform notation

Good representation in computer

Concrete syntax: sequence of symbols

Abstract syntax: ???
The abstraction is a tree

The abstract-syntax tree (AST):

\[
\text{Exp} = \text{LITERAL} \ (\text{Value})
\]

| \text{VAR} \ (\text{Name}) |
| \text{SET} \ (\text{Name name, Exp exp}) |
| \text{IFX} \ (\text{Exp cond, Exp true, Exp false}) |
| \text{WHILEX} \ (\text{Exp cond, Exp exp}) |
| \text{BEGIN} \ (\text{Explist}) |
| \text{APPLY} \ (\text{Name name, Explist actuals}) |

One kind of “application” for both user-defined and primitive functions.
In C, trees are a bit fiddly

typedef struct Exp *Exp;
typedef enum {
    LITERAL, VAR, SET, IFX, WHILEX, BEGIN, APPLY
} Expalt; /* which alternative is it? */

struct Exp { // only two fields: 'alt' and 'u'!
    Expalt alt;
    union {
        Value literal;
        Name var;
        struct { Name name; Exp exp; } set;
        struct { Exp cond; Exp true; Exp false; } ifx;
        struct { Exp cond; Exp exp; } whilex;
        Explist begin;
        struct { Name name; Explist actuals; } apply;
    } u;
};
Let’s picture some trees

An expression:

\[(f \ x \ (* \ y \ 3))\]

(Representation uses Explist)

A definition:

\[(define \ abs \ (n)\]
\[\ (if \ (< \ n \ 0) \ (- \ 0 \ n) \ n)\)]
Behaviors of ASTs, part I: Atomic forms

Numeral: stands for a value

Name: stands for what?
In Impcore, a name stands for a value

Environment associates each variable with one value

Written $\rho = \{x_1 \mapsto n_1, \ldots, x_k \mapsto n_k\}$, associates variable $x_i$ with value $n_i$.

Environment is finite map, aka partial function

\[
x \in \text{dom } \rho \quad \text{x is defined in environment } \rho
\]
\[
\rho(x) \quad \text{the value of } x \text{ in environment } \rho
\]
\[
\rho\{x \mapsto v\} \quad \text{extends/modifies environment } \rho \text{ to map } x \text{ to } v
\]
Environments in C, abstractly

An abstract type:

```c
typedef struct Valenv *Valenv;

Valenv mkValenv(Namelist vars, Valuelist vals);
bool isvalbound(Name name, Valenv env);
Value fetchval (Name name, Valenv env);
void bindval   (Name name, Value val, Valenv env);
```
“Environment” is pointy-headed theory

You may also hear:
- Symbol table
- Name space

Influence of environment is “scope rules”
- In what part of code does environment govern?
Find behavior using environment

Recall

(* y 3) ;; what does it mean?

Your thoughts?
Impcore uses three environments

Global variables $\xi$

Functions $\phi$

Formal parameters $\rho$

There are no local variables
  • Just like awk; if you need temps, use extra formal parameters
  • For homework, you’ll add local variables

Function environment $\phi$ not shared with variables—just like Perl