Review: “Continuation-Passing Style”

All tail positions are continuations or recursive calls

(define witness-cps (p? xs succ fail)
  (if (null? xs)
      (fail)
      (let ([x (car xs)])
          (if (p? x)
              (if (p? x)
                  (succ x)
                  (witness-cps p? (cdr xs) succ fail))))))

Compiles to tight code
Solving Boolean formulas

A formula is one of these:

- Symbol (stands for a variable)
- Record (make-not $f$); $f$ is a formula
- Record (make-or $fs$); $fs$ is a list of formulas
- Record (make-and $fs$); $fs$ is a list of formulas

In context of:

(record not [arg])
(record or [args])
(record and [args])
Your turn: Find satisfying assignment!

(val f1 (make-and (list4 'x 'y 'z (make-not 'x))))
; x /
x /

(val f2 (make-not (make-or (list2 'x 'y))))
; x /

(val f3 (make-not (make-and (list3 'x 'y 'z))))
; !(x /

Wait for it ...
Satisfying assignments

(val f1 (make-and (list4 'x 'y 'z (make-not 'x))))
    ; x \ y \ z \ !x    ;; NONE

(val f2 (make-not (make-or (list2 'x 'y))))
    ; x / y        ;; { x |-> #f, y |-> #f }  

(val f3 (make-not (make-and (list3 'x 'y 'z))))
    ; !(x \ y \ z)    ;; { x |-> #f, ... }
Find assignment using continuations

start +-----------+ succeed
--------->| |------------>
| solver |  |
<--------->| |<-----------
fail +-----------+ resume

start Gets partial solution, fail, succeed
(On homework, “solution” is assignment)

fail Partial solution won’t work (no params)

succeed Gets improved solution + resume

resume If improved solution won’t work, try another (no params)

A composable unit!
Continuations for the solver

A big box contains two smaller boxes A and B

There are two ways to wire them up (board)

Imagine A and B as formulas

Imagine A as a formula, B as a list of formulas!
Solving a literal

; (satisfy-literal-true x current succ fail) =
; (succ current fail), when x is bound to #t in cur
; (fail), when x is bound to #f in cur
; (succ (bind x #t current) fail), x unbound in cur

(define satisfy-literal-true (x current succ fail)
  (if (bound? x current)
      (if (find x current)
          (succ current fail)
          (fail))
      (succ (bind x #t current) fail)))
Lisp and Scheme Retrospective
μScheme and the Five Questions

Abstract syntax: imperative core, let, lambda

Values: S-expressions
  (especially cons cells, function closures)

Environments: A name stands for a mutable location holding value

Evaluation rules: lambda captures environment

Initial basis: yummy higher-order functions
A Scheme program is *just another S-expression*

- Function `define-syntax` manipulates syntax at compile time
- Macros are **hygienic**—name clashes impossible
- `let`, `&&`, `record`, others implemented as macros

*(See book sections 2.16, 2.17.4)*
Real Scheme: Conditionals

(cond [c1 e1] ; if c1 then e1
    [c2 e2] ; else if c2 then e2
    ... ... ... ... ... ...
    [cn en]) ; else if cn then en

; Syntactic sugar---’if’ is a macro:
(if e1 e2 e3) == (cond [e1 e2]
    [#t e3])
Real Scheme: Mutation

Not only variables can be mutated.

Mutate heap-allocated cons cell:

\[(\text{set-car! } '(a \ b \ c) \ 'd) \Rightarrow (d \ b \ c)\]

Circular lists, sharing, avoids allocation
- still for specialists only