SPR Day 07

Probabilistic Linear Regression

Reading:

Bishop Sec 3.1

Linear Basis Function models We'll cover & "Least Squares"

Closed form solution

Penalized maximum likelihood

Reading:

Bishop Sec 3.3

Gaussian-Gaussian model

Posterior for weights

MAP estimator

predictive posterior

Linear Regression Standard Features

The Dely

Goal: Given dataset Exn, to 3n=1 with $x_n \in \mathbb{R}^D$ and $t_n \in \mathbb{R}$ want to predict to given Xx Assume: "Linear model, which means prediction function is linear function of input Xn y(xn, w) = wo + w, xn1 + wz xn2 + - ws xn5 = $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{$ = WXn inner product
of two D+1 vectors Often can define "smarter" features by transforming input xn into another feature space via \$(xn) Define $\phi(x_n) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \phi_1(x_n) & \phi_2(x_2) & \cdots & \phi_{M-1}(x_n) \end{bmatrix}$ PM(Xn) can be non-linear! M total entries, includy "always 1" Xn1 or Xn1 Xn3 or Cos(xn4) or ... Key idea is that we define a feature transform function $\phi(x_n)$ in advance, with known size M.

Featurized" model for prediction:

$$(Xn, \omega) = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \omega_m \, \phi_m(Xn) = \omega^{T} \phi(Xn)$$

Note: our predictions will not be perfect.

Need to tolerate some noise.

Let's define a probabilistic approach.

Likelihood of observing output to given input Xn

$$p(t_n \mid Xn, \omega, \beta) = N(t_n \mid \frac{mean}{\omega^{T} \phi(Xn)}, \beta^{-1})$$

If we assume all N observations are i.i.d. from this distribution

$$P(t|X, \omega, B) = \prod_{n=1}^{N} N(t_n|\omega^T \phi(x_n), B^{-1})$$

Taking log of both sides and simplifying $\frac{1}{2} \log p(t|X, \omega, B) = \frac{N}{2} \log B - \frac{N}{2} \log(2\pi) - B \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (t_n - \omega T \phi(x_n))^2$

Key idea: Can treat this as a log likelihood, apply ML ideas to estimate WML, BML

View log likelihood as function of W, B, then try to meximize by taking gradients

Step 3 & setting equal to &

Step 3 & solving Step 1 d(w,B) = Zlog B-BZ [(tn-Wp(xn))2 + const Gradient with WML $\nabla_{W} \mathcal{L}(\omega, B) = 2ero + -\frac{1}{2} B \sum_{n} \nabla_{w} (t_{n}^{2} - 2t_{n} \omega^{2} \phi(x_{n}) + \omega^{2} \phi(x_{n})^{2})$ = 200 + + B [[tn p(xn)] - BiVw[w] [w] + BE to d(xn) - BEZ [w p(xn)] &(xn)

to - w p(xn)) p(xn)

to - w p(xn)) p(xn) = B E (tn - wtp(xn)) p(xn)

scalar scalar vector M

size M Set grad=0, solve for WML $\vec{D} = \beta \sum_{n=1}^{N} (t_n - w T \beta(x_n)) \phi(x_n)^T$ $\vec{O} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} t_n \phi(x_n)^T - \vec{W}^T \sum_{n=1}^{N} \phi(x_n) \phi(x_n)^T$ $T = \overline{\Psi}$

Thus, the maximum likelihood estimator WML for parameter w is given by: $W_{ML} = (\overline{\Phi}^{T}\overline{\Phi})^{T}\overline{\Phi}^{T}t$ where $t = \begin{bmatrix} t_{1} \\ t_{N} \end{bmatrix}^{T}\overline{\Phi} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \phi_{1}(x_{1}) & \cdots & \phi_{M-1}(x_{1}) \\ \vdots & \phi_{1}(x_{N}) & \cdots & \phi_{M-1}(x_{N}) \end{bmatrix}$ NOnly exists when inverse of \$ \$ \P exists, so that matrix must be full rank (rank M). Often, so long as #datepoints > # features, we'll be in good N > M If inverse does not exist, can't estimate a unique WML What about ML estimate of 13? Our precision parameter? Same process (Step 1, 2, 3) yields: $B_{ML}^{-1} = \frac{1}{B_{ML}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (t_n - \omega_{ML}^T \phi(x_n))^2$ Sum of squeed error When we plug in ML estincte of w Looks similar to TML for general, Gaussians. Suppose we optimize

Max d(w,B) - 2 Siwn of squees, also could write

with strength scalar 200 controlly strength of penalty

with this objective, we find term goal: avoid weight coefficients with large values Penalized ML Estimeter this is always rank M and $W^* = (\lambda \mathbf{I}_M + \overline{\Phi}^{\mathsf{T}}) \underline{\Phi}^{\mathsf{T}} t$

MXM with londing. []

always invertible

Towards a full probabilistic model for regression
Goal: All unknown paremeters (weight vector w = TRM) are treated probabilistically. precision B-1>0) For now, we'll assume B-1>0 is fixed known. Simples Frivalently, we need to define the second to
For now, we'll assume B'>0 is fixed known. Simples Equivalently, we need to define a joint model
P(t, w/x,B) = P(t/x,w,B).P(w/B)
Why? Given this joint, we can talk about posterior heliefs about parameters after seeing date
- fan just take MAP estimate instead of ML (limited date - Can use samples from posterior to assess uncertainty
We can also make good predictions about new data Use the predictive posterior.
$P(t_{x} X_{x},\xi x_{n},t_{n}\xi_{n})=\int P(t_{x} X_{x},\omega,\beta)P(\omega \xi x,t_{n};\beta)d\omega d\beta$

From Joint Gaussian to Marginal & Conditional ZAA ZAB
ZBA ZBB Whole coveriance matrix S' is D > D has an inverse 1=5 Marginal $P(XA) = \int P(XA, XB) dXB$ = N(XA /MA, ZIAA) Conditional P(XA,XB) P(XA XB) = P(XB) N(XA MA-CAB(XB-MB), DAA) dimeck: Ax1-(AxA)(AxB)(Bx1-Bx1), AxA