

SPR day 10

Bayesian Logistic Regression

- Posterior
- Evidence
- Predictive Posterior

Reading: Sec 4.4 of Bishop PRML
Sec 4.5

- Outline:
- (1) Overview of posterior + predictive
 - (2) Laplace approximation in 1-dim and M -dim
 - (3) Laplace approximation for logistic regression
 - (4) Posterior predictive approximation

Bayesian Logistic Regression

Model:

Prior on weights $w \in \mathbb{R}^M$

$$p(w) = \mathcal{N}(w \mid \overset{\text{mean}}{m_0}, \overset{\text{covar}}{S_0})$$

usually $m_0 = \vec{0}$ all zero
 $S_0 = \alpha^{-1} I_M$ with $\alpha > 0$

Just like linear regr.

Likelihood of "outputs" $t_n \in \{0, 1\}$ (binary)

$$p(t \mid w) = \prod_{n=1}^N \text{Bern}(t_n \mid \sigma(w^T \phi(x_n)))$$

treat x as known, fixed
iid across examples

Goals are to estimate the posterior and predictive

Posterior: $p(w \mid t_{1:N})$

no closed form!

Not a Gaussian!

$$p(t_* \mid t_{1:N})$$

if we only denote random variables

Predictive: $= p(t_* \mid x_*, \{x_n, t_n\}_{n=1}^N)$

if we make known x vals explicit

$$= \int_w p(t_* \mid w, x_*) p(w \mid \{x_n, t_n\}_{n=1}^N) dw$$

likelihood posterior

Must be a Bernoulli (r.v. t_* is binary)

But no closed-form for its parameter

Laplace Approximation in 1D

Given: a random variable $w \in \mathbb{R}$

whose density $p(w)$ is known up to norm. const.

$$p(w) = \frac{1}{Z} f(w) \iff \log p(w) = \log f(w) + \text{const}$$

Here, $f(w) > 0$ is known and evaluable and differentiable

but computing $Z = \int_w f(w) dw$ is hard

How can we estimate the distribution $p(w)$?

mean μ precision $\beta > 0$

Idea: Approximate with a Gaussian: $q(w) = N(\mu, \beta^{-1})$
- pick mean to match the mode of $p(w)$

$$\mu = \underset{w \in \mathbb{R}}{\operatorname{argmax}} p(w) = \underset{w \in \mathbb{R}}{\operatorname{argmax}} f(w)$$

Can use Gradient Methods to solve this numerically

- pick precision to perform best possible 2nd-order Taylor approximation to $p(w)$ at the mode $w = \mu$

$$\begin{aligned} \beta &= \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial w} \frac{\partial}{\partial w} \left[-\log f(w) \right] \right|_{w=\mu} \\ &= -l''(\mu) \quad \text{where } l = \log f(w) \end{aligned}$$

Advantages: Gives an approx distribution we can reason about?
Second derivatives are often tractable

Limitations: bad if $p(w)$ multimodal
bad if $p(w)$ has heavy tails, not symmetric about mode

Derivation of Taylor approx to
density $p(w)$ at $m = \operatorname{argmax}_w p(w)$

$$\log p(w) = \log f(w) + \text{const w.r.t } w$$

by definition
of $p(w)$

$$= l(w) + \text{const}_1$$

define $l(w) = \log f(w)$
note that m is a mode of $l(w)$
too!

$$= l(m) + l'(m)(w-m) + \frac{l''(m)}{2}(w-m)^2 + \text{const}_1$$

2nd order Taylor
approx. to func. l
at $w=m$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} [-l''(m)] (w-m)^2 + \text{const}_2$$

$l(m)$ is const
w.r.t w ,
so group w/ const

$$l'(m) = 0 \text{ bc.}$$

this is a Gaussian pdf

with mean $m = \operatorname{argmax}_w l(w)$

and precision $\beta = -l''(m)$

m is a maximizer
of $f(w)$ & $l(w)$
so this term
cancels

Laplace Approx in M-Dim.

Given: random variable $w \in \mathbb{R}^M$
whose PDF is known up to norm. const.

$$p(w) = \frac{1}{Z} f(w) \longleftrightarrow \log p(w) = \log f(w) + \text{const}$$

We know $f(w) > 0$ and its 1st/2nd derivatives wrt vector w

Idea: Approximation: $q(w) = \text{MVNorm}(m, \Delta^{-1})$
mean precision matrix: symmetric & positive definite!

Set m to match mode of f

$$m = \arg \max_{w \in \mathbb{R}^M} l(w) \quad l(w) = \log f(w)$$

Set Δ to negative Hessian at mode

$$\Delta = \nabla_w \nabla_w [-l(w)] \Big|_{w=m}$$

Similar Pro/Con as in 1-dim case above

Approximating the Posterior for Logistic Regression

True posterior intractable, but known to constant via Bayes

$$\log p(w | t_{1:N}) = \log p(w) + \log p(t_{1:N} | w) - \underbrace{\log p(t_{1:N})}_{\substack{\log Z \\ \text{constant} \\ \text{wrt } w}}$$

$$= \underbrace{\log \text{MVNormalPDF}(w | m_0, S_0) + \sum_{n=1}^N \log \text{Bernoulli}(t_n | \sigma(w^T \phi(x_n)))}_{l(w)}$$

Thus, we can apply Laplace Approx! $l(w)$

$$p(w | \{x_n, t_n\}_{n=1}^N) \approx \mathcal{N}(w | m_{\text{MAP}}, S)$$

with mean vector $m_{\text{MAP}} = \underset{w \in \mathbb{R}^M}{\text{argmax}} l(w)$

solved w/ Gradient descent

and precision matrix $S^{-1} = \nabla_w \nabla_w [-l(w)] \Big|_{w=m_{\text{MAP}}}$

uses plug-in formula

Using formulas for the Hessian of MAP objective, we know:

$$S^{-1} = S_0^{-1} + \Phi^T R(m_{\text{MAP}}) \Phi$$

$$= \alpha I_M + \Phi^T R(m_{\text{MAP}}) \Phi$$

Recall that $\Phi^T R \Phi = \sum_{n=1}^N \underbrace{\tau(m_{\text{MAP}}^T \phi(x_n))}_{\substack{\text{positive} \\ \text{scalar}}} \underbrace{\phi(x_n) \phi(x_n)^T}_{\substack{M \times M \\ \text{outer product}}}$

$\uparrow = \tau(m_{\text{MAP}}^T \phi_n) (1 - \tau(m_{\text{MAP}}^T \phi_n))$

Punchline: Laplace parameters m, S^{-1} possible to compute

Posterior Predictive for Logistic Regs.

Ideal (intractable) posterior predictive:

$$p(t_* | t_{1:N}) = \int_{w \in \mathbb{R}^M} p(t_* | w) p(w | t_{1:N}) dw$$

Laplace approximation

$$\approx \int_{w \in \mathbb{R}^M} p(t_* | w) \mathcal{N}(w | \mu_{MAP}, S) dw$$

$$p(w | t_{1:N}) \approx \mathcal{N}(w | \mu_{MAP}, S)$$

Still a tough integral over M dimensions
If M was 1 or 2, could use numerical strategies like trapezoid approx.

Option 1: Monte Carlo
Easy but need many samples L

$$p(t_* | t_{1:N}) = \mathbb{E}_{p(w | t_{1:N})} [p(t_* | w)]$$

Average of L samples from approx. posterior

$$\approx \frac{1}{L} \sum_{l=1}^L p(t_* | w^l)$$

with $w^l \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_{MAP}, S)$

Option 2: Probit approx.
Closed-form, hard to port to other models

$$\text{Likelihood } p(t_* | w) = \begin{cases} \sigma(w^T \phi_*) & \text{if } t_* = 1 \\ \sigma(-w^T \phi_*) & \text{if } t_* = 0 \end{cases}$$

See BisLap Fig 4.9

$$\sigma(a) \approx \text{NormCDF}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} a\right)$$

$$\approx \begin{cases} \text{NormCDF}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} w^T \phi_*\right) & \text{if } t_* = 1 \\ 1 - \text{above} & t_* = 0 \end{cases}$$

Makes integral tractable when combined w/ Laplace!

$$(4.155): p(t_* = 1 | t_{1:N}) = \sigma\left(\mu_{MAP}^T \phi_* \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{\pi}{8} \phi_*^T S \phi_*}}\right)$$